## **MINUTES**

**Georges River Local Planning Panel** 

Thursday, 18 December 2025

4:00 PM

**Blended Meeting** 

Online and Council Chambers, Civic Centre,

Hurstville

ET S

#### **PANEL MEMBERS:**

Ms Donna Rygate (Chairperson)

Mr David Epstein (Expert Panel Member)
Ms Deborah Laidlaw (Expert Panel Member)
Ms Sophia Ma (Community Representative)

#### 1. ON SITE INSPECTIONS

Prior to this meeting the Panel carried out an inspection of the sites and nearby localities.

#### 2. OPENING

The meeting commenced at 4.00pm with a moment's silence in light of the recent tragic events at Bondi.

#### 3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Georges River Local Planning Panel acknowledges the Bidjigal people of the Eora Nation, who are the Traditional Custodians of all lands, waters and sky in the Georges River area. We pay our respects to Elders past and present and extend that respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples who live, work and meet on these lands.

#### 4 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

There were no apologies received

#### 5. NOTICE OF WEBCASTING

## 6. DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY NTEREST

There were no declarations of Peganiary Interest

### 7. CONSIDERATION OF ITEM(S) AND VERBAL SUBMISSIONS

The registered speakers were invited to address the panel by the Chair.

The speakers concluded at 4.29pm and the LPP Panel proceeded into Closed Session to deliberate on the items sted below.

Ž Š ITERE

#### 8 CLOSED SESSION - DELIBERATION ON REPORTS

# LPP042-25 Mortdale Master Plan Implementation - Planning Proposal (Report by Senior Strategic Planner)

### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The Panel resolved to advise Council as follows:

- (a) That the Mortdale Local Centre Master Plan and the associated Planring Proposal, shown in Attachment 1 to Council's report, were received and noted.
- (b) That the Local Planning Panel recommends to Council that the Planning Proposal for Mortdale Centre Master Plan (PP2025/0004) be forwarded to the Department of Planning Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI) for a Gateway Determination.

57

## LPP043-25 643A King Georges Road, Penshurst - Penshurst Park (Report by Principal Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

There were no speakers for this item.

### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Development Application DA2025/0384 for the construction of an operable fence, ticket booth, sign wall and footpath extension (Community Facility) at Penshurst Park at Lot 7051 DP 1027262 known as 643A King Georges Road, Penshurst, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report to the George River Local Planning Panel meeting of 18 December 2025, amended as follows:

Include additional condition 29A to read as follows:

**29A.** The fencing for the ticketed events shall be closed no earlier than the morning of the game/ ticketed event and re-opened immediately following the conclusion of the game/ticketed event.

Amend condition 29 to read as follows: ¿

29. Public toilets – The public toilets within the existing grandstand on the site shall be publicly accessible (on request) during the operation of the fencing at the site. A sign outlining the availability of these public toilets upon request within the grandstand building shall be provided in the northern corner of the site (on the fencing) and include the relevant contact details.

- The proposed development is permissible within the subject zone.
- The proposed revelopment complies with the requirements of the relevant environmental planning instruments.
- The proposed development, as conditioned, complies with the objectives of the relevant environmental planning instruments.
- The proposal, as conditioned, aims to provide a high-quality development that will establish a positive urban design outcome.
- The proposal, subject to conditions, will not cause unreasonable environmental and amenity impacts.

#### LPP044-25 245 Rocky Point Road, Ramsgate

(Report by Senior Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

There were no speakers for this item.

#### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.16(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, as amended, the Georges River Local Planning Panel refuses Development Application DA2025/0336 for the mixed-use development at Lots 152, 153, 154, 155 DP 805793 known as 245-247 Rocky Point Road Ramsgate.

- a. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 4.3 Height of Building maximum 21m height of building development standard of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021 and, having considered the submitted Clause 4.6 variation request, the Panel formed the view that it does not demonstrate that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable of unnecessary in the circumstance of the case, on that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the contravention, or that the development achieves the objectives of the development standard, or that the development is in the public interest.
- b. The application fails to provide sufficient information to allow the impacts of the proposed development to be assessed pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iv) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- c. The proposed development application fails to provide lawful consent by the owner of the property and/or another person in respect of all lots to which the development application relates.
- d. The proposed development fails to adequately capture the full extent of the estimated development cost taking account all elements of the proposal. An accurate Estimated Development Cost is required to determine who the consent authority for the development application is pursuant to Clause 255 of Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021.
- e. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Division 17, Subdivision 2 Development in or adjacent to road corridors and road reservations, of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021.
- f. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Chapter 2 Affordable Housing of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021 in terms of design quality, solar access, setbacks, internal amenity, deep soil zone, crime prevention through environmental design and car parking provisions.

- g. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- h. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.3 Stormwater Management of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- i. The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.9 Essential Services of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- j. The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 3 General Planning Considerations of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- k. The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 4 General Land Use of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- I. The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 5.20 Residential Locality Statement Sans Souci and Ramsgate Locality Statement of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- m. The proposal fails to provide comply with design equirements in accordance with Part 6.3 Residential Flat Buildings and residential components of shop top housing (High Density) of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- n. The proposal, in its current form will have an adverse impact on the natural, built and social environment, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- o. The proposal, in its current form, is not considered to be suitable for the site, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- p. The proposed development, in its current form, is not considered to be in the public interest and is likely to set an indesirable precedent, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

## LPP045-25 1 Marina Street and 61 Moreton Avenue Kingsgrove (Report by Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

• Steve Querin (applicant/owner)

#### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.16(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, as amended, the Georges River Local Planning Panel refuses Development Application DA2025/0344 for Realignment of the Torrens title boundaries between the two properties, and construction of a new parking hardstand on Lot 2 DP 1287707 & Lot C DP 341232 on lands known as 1 Marina Street, Kingsgrove and 61 Moreton Avenue, Kingsgrove.

- (a) The development does not comply with Chause 4.1 of the Georges River Local Environmental Plan (GRLEP) 2021 relating to the minimum lot size.
- (b) The development does not comply with Clause 6.3 of the GRLEP 2021 as insufficient information regarding stormwater management has been provided.
- (c) The development does not comply with Clause 6.9 of the GRLEP 2021 as inadequate stormwater discharge on site has been provided.
- (d) The development does not comply with the objectives of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, as the proposal does not promote the orderly and economic use and development of land.
- (e) The proposed development is not suitable for the site, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (f) Approval of the proposed development is not in the public interest, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

#### LPP046-25 7 Wadsley Crescent, Connells Point

(Report by Senior Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

There were no speakers for this item.

### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.16(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, as amended, the Georges River Local Planning Panel refuses Development Application DA2025/0132 for demolition works, construction of a detached due occupancy, swimming pools and garage at Lot 80 DP 1302988 and part Lot 518 DP 752056 known as 7 Wadsley Crescent, Connells Point.

- (a) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 4.6 of the Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2027, Exceptions to development standards in that a Clause 4.6 Variation Statement in relation to Clause 4.4A, Clause 6.4 and Clause 6.12 of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021 has not been submitted pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (b) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 4.4A Floor Space Ratio maximum 0.5:1 development standard of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (c) The application fails to provide sufficient information to assess the impacts of the proposed development, pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iv) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (d) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 2.3 Zone Objectives and Land Tse Table of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (e) The development application fails to provide sufficient information to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.1 Acid Sulfate Soils of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (f) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.2 Earthworks of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (g) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.3 Stormwater Management of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (h) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.4 Foreshore Area and Coastal Hazards and Risk of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
  - The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.5 Riparian Land and Waterways Stormwater Management of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.

- (j) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.6 Foreshore Scenic Protection Area of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (k) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.9 Essential Services of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (I) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.10 Design Excellence of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (m) The proposed development fails to demonstrate compliance with Clause 6.12 Landscape Area in Certain Residential and Conservation Zones of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- (n) The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 3 General Planning Considerations of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- (o) The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 5.16 Residential Locality Statement Connells Point and Kyle Bay Locality Statement of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021
- (p) The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 6.1.3 Dual Occupancies of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- (q) The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 6.4 Ancillary Development of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- (r) The proposal fails to provide comply with design requirements in accordance with Part 6.5 Foreshore Locality of the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.
- (s) The proposal, in its current form would have an adverse impact on the natural, built and social environment.
- (t) The proposal, in its current form, is not considered to be suitable for the site, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (u) The proposed development, in its current form, is not considered to be in the public interest and is likely to set an undesirable precedent, pursuant to Section 4.15 (1)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

A THE STATE OF THE

## LPP047-25 28A Algernon Street, Oatley

(Report by Principal Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

• Elizabeth Soliman (Consultant on behalf of applicant/owner)

#### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

The Georges River Local Planning Panel considered the request for variation under Clause 4.6 of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021, in relation to the Height of Building (Clause 4.3) development standard, and supports this as the variation satisfies the objectives of the standard. It also formed the view that sufficient environmental planning grounds have been provided in the written request for variation justifying that compliance would be unnecessary and unreasonable in the circumstances of the case.

The proposal is also in the public interest, resulting in no adverse environmental impacts, but rather an acceptable design outcome.

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Development Application DA2025/0098 for alterations and additions to a dwelling house on Lot 3 DP1105056, known as 28A Algernon Street, Oatley, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report submitted to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 18 December 2025.

#### Statement of Reasons

The proposed development comples with the requirements of the relevant environmental planning instruments and development control plan except:

- Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021 a Clause
   4.6 variation has been submitted in support of the application, and
- Section 6.1.2.2.3 Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021 Building Scale and Height in respect of a maximum two (2) storey building form.

Both variations are considered acceptable, having regard to the justification provided.

The proposed additions will be of an acceptable bulk and scale given the scale of the adjoining residential development to the east and west and will not result in any detrimental overshadowing, privacy and view loss impacts.

The proposal will result in a suitable built form outcome.

#### LPP048-25 243A-247 Forest Road Hurstville

(Report by Senior Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

Luke Signoretti (applicant)

#### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.55 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Modification Application MOD2025/0136 for the modification of DA2025/0043 for Temporary use of parts of Forest Road, Memorial Square, Palm Court Car Park, Hurstville Interchange Park, and Hurstville Plaza for community, cultural, and corporate events including the erection of associated overlay including temporary structures, for a 5 year period; Amendments to Conditions 1, 5, 9, and 19 to capture extension of hours for bump-in and bump-out activities associated with the annual Lunar New Year event from 4:00am (the day of the event) to 2:00am (the day following the event); correction of a minor error within Condition 9 of the Development Consent relating to bump-in and bump-out hours; an increase in the number of major events permitted within any 12 month period from 18 to 24; and to make reference to addendum acoustic assessment and Statement of Environmental Effects at Lot 1 of DP 221087, known as 243A-247 Forest Road Hurstville is determined by granting approval to modify the original development consent subject to the conditions in the report submitted to the Local Planning Panel meeting of 18 December 2025, with the following addition to condition 19:

Notwithstanding (c), Bump In and Bump Out activities are permitted for the Lunar New 19k. Year event between 4:00am (the first day of the event) and 2:00am (the day following the event). This is not permitted more than once in any 12-month period or for any other event. Where feasible, use of chains should be replaced with straps or equivalent.

#### Statement of Reasons

The proposed modification is substantially the same development as that which was originally considered and approved by Council. The proposed modification is considered acceptable having regard to the provision of Sections 4.15 and 4.55 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979

# LPP049-25 198-200 Princes Highway, Kogarah Bay NSW 2217 (Report by Senior Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

- Andrew Benjamin (owner)
- Nasser Benjamin (owner)

#### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Pursuant to Section 4.55(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Modification Application MOD2025/0031 for the modification of DA2017/0655 for Demolition of existing structures, construction of six storey residential flat building comprising twenty two (22) residential units and two (2) levels of basement carpark - including increasing the number of units, modifying the unit mix and size, and floor level changes at Lot 4 and 5 Sec 17 DP 1963 known as 198-200 Princes Highway, Kogarah Bay is determined by granting approval to modify the original development consent subject to the conditions recommended in the report submitted to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 18 December 2025, amended as follows:

Wherever they appear, replace of the words "Road and Maritime Services" or the acronym "RMS" with the words "Transport for NSW".

33a. Required Design Amendments - Before the issue of a construction certificate, the certifier must ensure the approved construction certificate plans (and specifications) detail the following required amendments to the approved plans and supporting documentation stamped by Council.

| Area of change required | Change Required                             |
|-------------------------|---|
| Ground floor communal   | A mechanical device shall be provided to    |
| open space              | transport wheelchair users between the      |
| open space              | ground floor and the ground floor communal  |
| ₩                       | open space.                                 |
| Onsite detention tank   | No access is to be permitted to the         |
| £, T                    | landscaped area above onsite detention tank |
| \$5                     | 2 except for maintenance purposes.          |
| Screen planting         | The hedged planting along the rear boundary |
|                         | is to be maintained to a minimum height of  |
| Š.                      | 2.5m above natural ground level.            |

This&condition is added per MOD2025/0031 (MOD2025/0016)

Deletion of Landscape Works).

#### Statement of Reasons

The application has been assessed having regard to the matters for consideration under Section 4.15 and Section 4.55(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the provisions of the relevant state environmental planning policies, local environmental plans and development control plans.

The proposal has been assessed against the provisions of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021, Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021, and Georges River Development Control Plan 2021.

The development generally complies with the LEP objectives of Clause 43 Height of Buildings. In this instance, the height variation is considered acceptable as the height increase is necessary to enable compliance with BCA and stormwater provisions and the height variation will not introduce unacceptable amenity impacts.

Having regard to the provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021, and the Georges River Development Control Plan 2021, the proposal is considered to be satisfactory.

The development is expected to deliver a positive outcome for the community, and no unreasonable adverse impacts on the surrounding locality are anticipated.

THE SHAPE OF THE PARTY OF THE P

#### LPP050-25 84D Roberts Road Mortdale

(Report by Principal Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

#### **Speakers**

There were no speakers for this item.

### **Voting of the Panel Members**

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

The Georges River Local Planning Panel considered the request for variation under Clause 4.6 of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021 in relation to the Height of Building (Clause 4.3) development standard, and supports it as the variation sought satisfies the objectives of the standard. It also formed the view that sufficient environmental planning grounds have been provided in the written request for variation to justify that compliance would be unnecessary and unreasonable in the circumstances of the case.

The proposal is also in the public interest and it satisfies the objectives of the zone, resulting in no adverse environmental impacts.

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Development Application DA2025/0390 for the alterations and additions to rooftop to provide an automated carwash facility at Mortgale Plaza at Lot 21 DP542051 known as 84D Roberts Avenue, Mortdale, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report submitted to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 18 December 2025.

#### Statement of Reasons

- The proposed development is permissible within the subject zone.
- The proposed development complies with the requirements of the relevant environmental planning instruments. except:
  - Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings. A Clause 4.6 variation request has been submitted in support of the application.

The variation to height is considered acceptable, having regard to the justification provided.

- The proposed automated carwash facility will be of an acceptable bulk and scale, given the position on the roof, and it will not result in any detrimental overshadowing, privacy, acoustic or view loss impacts.
- The proposal will result in a suitable built form outcome and will be lower than the previously approved works under DA2020/0557.
- The proposed development as conditioned, complies with the objectives of the relevant environmental planning instruments.
- The proposal, subject to conditions, will not cause unreasonable environmental and amenity impacts.

#### **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES** 9

## **GEORGES RIVER LOCAL PLANNING PANEL MEETING-18 DECEMBER 2025 RECOMMENDATION**

That the Minutes of the Georges River Local Planning Panel Meeting held on 18 December 2025, be confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 5.34pm.

Donna Rygate

Dawid Epstein

Expert Panel Member

Sophia Ma

**Community Representative** 

Do Entered to the state of the

| Donna Rygate   |  |
|--|--|
| 18 December 2025   |  |
| LPP042-25 — Mortdale Master Plan Implementation     LPP043-25 — 643A King Georges Road Penshurst     LPP044-25 — 245 Rocky Point Road Ramsgate     LPP045-25 — 1 Marina Street and 61 Moreton Avenue Kingsgrove     LPP046-25 — 7 Wadsley Crescent Connells Point     LPP047-25 — 28A Algernan Street Oatley     LPP048-25 — 243A-247 Forest Road Hurstville     LPP049-25 — 198-208 Princes Highway Kogarah Bay     LPP050-25 — 84D Roberts Avenue Mortdale |  |
| No known conflict of interest  |  |
| □ Conflict Devails   |  |
| □Conflict Details  |  |
| Conflict Details   |  |
| They   |  |
|  |  |

Key of Terms:

- ey of Terms:

  An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's dutie and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
- A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.
- A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether as not this is in fact the case.

| Panel Member Name:   | David Epstein  18 December 2025  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Meeting Date:  |  |  |
| Item Numbers:  | LPP042-25 — Mortdale Master Plan Implementation     LPP043-25 — 643A King Georges Road Penshurst     LPP044-25 — 245 Rocky Point Road Ramsgate     LPP045-25 — 1 Marina Street and 61 Moreton Avenue Kingsgrove     LPP046-25 — 7 Wadsley Crescent Connells Point     LPP047-25 — 28A Algermon Street Oatley     LPP048-25 — 243A-244 Forest Road Hurstville     LPP049-25 — 198-268 Princes Highway Kogarah Bay     LPP050-25 — 840 Roberts Avenue Mortdale   |  |
| In relation to the matters on<br>this agenda, I declare that I<br>have:                          | ⊠No known conflict of interest   |  |
| In relation to item number I<br>have an actual¹ conflict of<br>interest                          | □Conflict Details  |  |
| In relation to item number I<br>have a potential <sup>2</sup> conflict of<br>interest            | □Conflet Details   |  |
| In relation to item number I<br>have a reasonably perceived <sup>3</sup><br>conflict of interest | Conflict Details   |  |
| Name of Panel Member Signature:  | The second secon |  |

#### Key of Terms:

- An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and esponsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
- A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.
   A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably
- A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

| Panel Member Name:   | Deborah Laidlaw   |
|--|---|
| Meeting Date:  | 18 December 2025  |
| Item Numbers:  | LPP042-25 - Mortdale Master Plan Implementation     LPP043-25 - 643A King Georges Road Penshurst     LPP044-25 - 245 Rocky Point Road Ramsgate     LPP045-25 - 1 Marina Street and 61 Moreton Avenue Kingsgrove     LPP046-25 - 7 Wadsley Coscent Connells Point     LPP047-25 - 28A Algerton Street Oatley     LPP048-25 - 243A-247 Forest Road Hurstville     LPP049-25 - 198-200 Princes Highway Kogarah Bay     LPP050-25 - S4D Roberts Avenue Mortdale |
| In relation to the matters on<br>this agenda, I declare that I<br>have:  | ⊠No known consect of interest   |
| In relation to item number I<br>have an actual <sup>1</sup> conflict of<br>interest  | □Conflict Cetails   |
| In relation to item number I have a potential <sup>2</sup> conflict of interest  | □Conflict Details   |
| In relation to item number I have a reasonably perceived³ conflict of interest  Name of Panel Member   | Conflict Details  |
| Name of Panel Member Signature:  | Jeba D.   |
| An 'actual' conflict of interests is who member's duties and esponsibilities  A 'potential' conflict of interests is who other duty that a full conflict with the other duty that a panel member's priving received that a panel member's priving received influence the performance of | ere there is a direct conflict between a sand their private interests or other duties.  There a panel member has a private interest or seir duties as a panel member in the future.  Interests is where a person could reasonably sate interests or other duties are likely to se of their duties as a panel member, whether  |
| or not his is in fact the case.  |   |

| Panel Member Name:  | Sophia Ma  18 December 2025  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Meeting Date:   |  |  |
| Item Numbers:   | LPP042-25 – Mortdale Master Plan Implementation     LPP043-25 – 643A King Georges Road Penshurst     LPP044-25 – 245 Rocky Point Road Ramsgate     LPP045-25 – 1 Marina Street and 61 Moreton Avenue Kingsgrove     LPP046-25 – 7 Wadsley Crescent Connells Point     LPP047-25 – 28A Algerson Street Oatley     LPP048-25 – 243A-247 Forest Road Hurstville     LPP049-25 – 198-220 Princes Highway Kogarah Bay     LPP050-25 – 84D Roberts Avenue Mortdale |  |
| In relation to the matters on<br>this agenda, I declare that I<br>have:               | ⊠No known conflict of interest   |  |
| In relation to item number I<br>have an actual <sup>1</sup> conflict of<br>interest   | □Conflict Defails  |  |
| In relation to item number I<br>have a potential <sup>2</sup> conflict of<br>interest | □Confoct Details   |  |
| In relation to item number I have a reasonably perceived³ conflict of interest        | Conflict Details   |  |
| Conflict of interest Name of Panel Member Signature: Key of Terms:                    | Sophis   |  |

- An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
- A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or
- other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

  A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether